Authlogics

Strong Authentication Made Simple

How secure is your authentication?

Compare the merits and vulnerabilities of 1.5 Factor Authentication technologies available on the market today

Whitepaper

Introduction

A key feature of any secure environment is being able to ensure that only the people that should have access to an area have access to that area and those that shouldn't, don't. This truism applies to IT environments as much as, if not more so, to offices, buildings and army bases etc. The first step of any security solution is proper identification of the person ensuring that they are actually who they claim to be. Initial computer systems relied upon the simple username and password to identify the user to the system. This identification and authentication technology has proven to be very weak as it is simply "*something that someone knows*" but there is little or nothing to stop multiple people knowing the same thing. Sometimes this information is shared deliberately, other times it is shared maliciously. In either event, when a system requires a password for authentication, the user is replaying the full unabridged "secret" information which could and often is intercepted. Effectively, a single attack will reveal the "secret" information permanently.

The following are examples of attacks levied against single Factor Authentication solutions:

- Shoulder surfing
- Keylogging
- Screen scraping
- Replay attacks
- Reverse engineering
- Brute force attacks
- Man in the middle attacks (transaction authorisation)

It is for the above-mentioned reasons, additional layers or factors have been introduced so as to mitigate the inherent security limitations of the ubiquitous username and password combination. As the years have progressed, the number of multi-factor solutions have increased exponentially with a whole host of companies providing various forms of authentication technologies ranging from physical key-fob tokens and SMS text messages to fingerprint and retinal scans. These diverse technologies are broken down into sub-sections called factors with each factor having its own specific functionality. The 1st factor as (discussed above with passwords) is *something you know*; 2nd factors are something you possess, such as a mobile phone or key fob, 3rd factors are *something you are*, such as a fingerprint or retinal scan which is unique to every individual.

The following white-paper has been created to discuss the merits and susceptibility of attacks levied against 1.5 Factor Authentication technologies available on the market which is a form factor that sits in between 1 and 2 Factor Authentication in terms of security, convenience and functionality.

1.5 Factor Authentication

1.5 Factor Authentication is a technology class unique to a small group of companies which technically relies on a single factor for authentication, that being something you know, however for the most part limits or mitigates the attacks that traditional passwords are susceptible to. 1.5 Factor technology requires no additional physical devices or tokens, nor are biometric scanners required for completion of the authentication process.

Where 1.5 Factor Authentication technologies differ from the traditional 1 FA technologies is in the fact that the "secret" information is never replayed in its entirety and the same information is not entered during each login. In essence, when prompted, a user will use the something they know in combination with another element provided to them at login to determine a One Time Code required for that authentication session. If either parts of the combination are incorrect then authentication will fail. The power of 1.5 Factor Authentication is that, as no additional devices are required and the challenge prompts can be displayed on the login page, deployment is both cost-effective and rapid.

In essence, 1.5 Factor produces a One Time Code similar to traditional 2 Factor solutions, but with the portability of a password. However, to satisfy high-security requirements, 2 Factor Authentication is still recommended.

1.5 Factor Authentication Solutions and Characteristics

The following three solutions provide 1.5 Factor Authentication where the authentication prompts/challenges are displayed on the same login page used for authenticating a user to a system. In all cases, no additional hardware devices or scanners are required. In this section, we will evaluate the technologies, describing how they operate and assess their susceptibility to standard attacks.

Swivel Secure – TURing Image

Authenticating using Swivel Secure's PINsafe, a TURing image displays the user's security string. The user then combines this security string with their PIN to derive a one-time code. They then use this one-time code to authenticate themselves. The user needs both the security string and their PIN in order to authenticate, yet the PIN is never entered as part of the authentication process. The one-time code extraction protocol is simple to use, the PIN determines which characters are to be used and in which order, for the one-time code.

As an example, using the TURing image above, if the user's PIN is 1, 3, 5 ,7 then the one-time-code will be 8 4 7 9.

Susceptibility to Attack

Unfortunately, the issue with a TURing technology is an attacker will only require a single successful login to decipher the user's PIN as the numbers displayed in the TURing image are unique. Using the example above, 8 is only found in position 1, 4 is only on position 3, 7 in 5 and 9 at position 7. The simplicity of the PIN (usually 4 digits) coupled to the displayed TURing image means that a single shoulder-surf attack is often enough to compromise the system. In fact, this solution turns out to be less secure than a complex password.

Additional Limitations

PINsafe does have a 2 Factor configuration where the equivalent of the TURing image is emailed or sent via a SMS Text message to the user. As with the TURing image, the user uses a combination of the PIN and character string to determine the One Time code. However, the TURing image is displayed in a CAPTCHA format so as to try and obfuscate the numbers against Optical Character Recognition attacks, whereas the 2 factor deployment doesn't use this feature. As such, the user experience differs between 1 and 2 factor deployments.

The CAPTCHA style of the TURing image also cause other issues for users as the symbols are often difficult to read which results in multiple failed logons and frustrated users.

Gemalto (pka SafeNet, CRYPTOCard & GrIDsure)

GrIDsure presents the end-user with a grid of cells containing random characters from which the end-user selects their 'personal identification pattern' (PIP). Each time the end-user needs to authenticate a grid will be displayed containing a random set of characters. The end-user then just needs to remember their PIP and provide the specific characters within those cells that make up their One Time Code in order to securely authenticate to the protected resource.

The size of GrIDsure grid can be increased (e.g. 6x6 or 7x7), however its default size is a 5x5 grid consisting of 25 cells with a user's pattern being configurable from a minimum 3 characters. Naturally, the longer the minimum lengths, the harder it is to compromise the pattern.

Susceptibility to Attack

In order to compromise a GrIDsure logon, an attacker will most likely only be required to capture a maximum of 3 valid logons (in most cases 2 logons will suffice) if the length of the pattern is 6 digits. If the user's pattern is 3 or 4 characters then a single logon most likely will be sufficient. The reason for this is that GrIDsure utilises 10 unique numbers/characters/symbols when populating a challenge grid. So in a 5x5 grid comprising of 25 cells, there will be 5 characters repeated 3 times and 5 of the characters repeated only twice. With reference to the grid above, characters 0,1,2,5 and 6 appear 3 times and 3,4,7,8 and 9 twice. By overlaying 2 grids with their valid login information, an attacker will very likely be able to determine the cells in the grid the user has selected for the pattern as the character re-occurrence is small.

Other Issues

GrIDsure does not have a 2 FA option so users can only be provisioned for 1.5 Factor Authentication. GrIDsure does include the functionality of restricting trivial patterns to disallow the selection of diagonal lines, straight lines and four corner selections however the complexity does not enforce gaps between cells and limiting of using the same cell for almost the entire pattern so trivial patterns can always be selected.

Authlogics PINgrid

Similar to GrIDsure, a user is presented with a unique One Time Challenge Authentication Prompt (CAP) delivered in a grid. The digits within the CAP are repeated equally throughout the grid. This repetition ensures that any attempt to shoulder-surf or a once off capture of the user's entered OTP will be useless as there would be no way of reversing this back to the original pattern.

1	1	4	5	5	4
2	4	0	1	2	5
2	4	3	2	2	0
3	1	0	1	1	3
4	0	0	2	5	3
5	5	0	4	3	3

Susceptibility to Attack

A single vector attack, e.g. a screen-scrape on its own or a key log, will not reveal the secret pattern. With PINgrid authentication, the attacker would need to have a multi-vector attack on multiple occasions. This means that in conjunction with a screen scrape of the area where the challenge grid is displayed, the attacker must also, at the same time key-log the user's valid OTP. This process would need to be repeated 4 or 5 times on average (max 6 times) to be able to reverse engineer the pattern when the minimum of a 6 digit pattern is required. The longer the pattern, the more captured logons would be required to launch an attack. In all cases, the screen-scrape and key-log operations will need to be synchronised, marrying up what is required for a valid login which can be a fairly complicated process and requires moderate to high-level hacker skills.

Additional Considerations

PINgrid includes pattern complexity enforcement which ensure that patterns cannot be comprised of a single cell repeated an excessive number of times (controls can limit a single cell to be used 2 or 3 times maximum), straight lines whether they are vertical, horizontal or diagonal as well as ensuring that patterns use cells that do not touch previously used cells. This complexity increases security significantly.

Unlike other technologies, the user experience for PINgrid in a 1.5, 2 & 3 FA solution does not vary as the challenge grids are identical in all scenarios with the only difference being where the Grid is displayed. As such, usage is consistent across factors.

PINgrid utilises a combination of FIPS compliant and standards-based algorithms and patent approved methodologies to generate the numbers in the grid complying industry best practices.

Summary

The three technologies described in this document attempt to provide a mid-way solution between traditional 2 Factor Authentication and passwords. As this type of authentication is based only on a single factor, i.e. "*something you know*", for it to be more secure than a password it must provide an effective way of protecting the secret.

	PINgrid	GrIDsure	Swivel Secure
Successful logon captures required to reverse engineer secret	6 attempts	2 attempts	1 attempt
Number of available patterns	2.1 billion	390 thousand	10 thousand
Character repetition in challenge	6 times	2.5 times (average)	0 times
Possibility of guessing an OTP	1 : 46,656	1 : 10,000	1 : 10,000

Data based on each product using default settings.

Each solution provides slightly different methods and benefits, however PINgrid is statistically proven to provide the highest level of protection again reverse engineering the secret "*something you know*" information and thwarting theoretical attacks.

Conclusion

PINgrid utilises proven techniques to generate the numbers in the grid and, for flexibility, provides numerous methods of deployment. Based on use case scenarios, convenience and cost, adopters have a variant of choices of how to implement PINgrid.

2 Factor Authentication is more secure and addresses the few theoretical vulnerabilities associated with 1.5 Factor Authentication. Once a PINgrid 1.5 Factor Authentication solution is in place it can be changed to, or co-exist with, a full 2 factor PINgrid solution with minimal back-end application changes thus preserving the initial 1.5 factor investment.

About Authlogics

Authlogics provides IT security professionals with a fresh alternative to legacy authentication and transaction verification methods. We help companies remove the reliance on password-based authentication and hardware tokens, and encourage the use of self-service capabilities. We eliminate costs and administration surrounding card readers and keyring tokens and innovate without the need to implement expensive biometrics.

Whether you want to authenticate to a Web portal, VPN, firewall, or to a multitude of different Cloud providers, Authlogics offers a range of authentication methods to suit your business. Our solution provides 1.5, 2 and 3 Factor Authentication options, via three authentication technologies (PINpass, PINphrase & PINgrid) and can be delivered via the Web, Mobile App, Email or SMS/TEXT. Additionally, we have several integration agents for various 3rd party systems should you need them.

PINgrid

PINgrid is an award-winning and patented multi-Factor Authentication and transaction signing solution that is being used in the public and private sector today to transform any mobile device into a soft-token, via a simple offline application, replacing passwords with a memorable pattern that automatically generates a One Time Code (OTC).

PINphrase

PINphrase is a memorable word technology where users are asked for random letters from answers they already know to log in, instead of providing a full password. PINphrase is the only off-the-shelf solution that delivers this type of technology used by many banking websites.

PINpass

PINpass is a 2 and 3 Factor OATH compliant 6 - 8 digit random code solution. This standard is widely adopted by many vendors and is well trusted. PINpass turns a mobile device into a token via an App or by sending an OTP via SMS or e-mail. Like most OATH solutions, PINpass works with a fixed PIN code which must be remembered, however, it can also be used with an AD password or work in PIN-less mode.